



8 / Key to
Reconsider
11-13-98

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TM OFFICE

Serial No. 08/937,258
Filing Date: 09/13/97
Applicant: Richard J. Ditzik
Title: Desktop Computer With Adjustable Flat Panel Screen

Examiner: Lao, L. Art Unit 2774

Date: November 16, 1998

AMENDMENT Under 37 CFR § 1.115

Received

NOV 23 1998

Group 2700

Honorable Assistant Commissioner
for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated 09/25/98, having three (3) months shorten statutory period for response set to expire on 12/25/98, please amend the above identified application as follows:

REMARKS

Claim 24-31 Judicially Created Doctrine
Obviousness Type of Double Patenting

As shown in the attached Table 1, independent Claims 1, 4, 13, of the '570 patent of Ditzik are compared directly with independent Claims 24, 27, and 28. Although there are some similarities between them, they are patentably distinct, having different structure and functions that are non-obvious under the meaning of U.S.C. § 103(a). Attached Table 1 shows exactly where they are patently distinct (for examples see the underlines).

The preambles of Claims 4 and 28 differ greatly in scope. Claim 4 claims a display